#### Assessing Egocentered Social Networks in formr: A Tutorial

Louisa M. Reins<sup>1</sup>, Ruben C. Arslan<sup>2</sup>, and Tanja M. Gerlach<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Goettingen <sup>2</sup>Center for Adaptive Rationality, Max Planck Institute for Human Development <sup>3</sup>Leibniz ScienceCampus Primate Cognition

This is an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication at *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form.

Citation:

Reins, L. M., Arslan, R. C., & Gerlach, T. M. (in press). Assessing Egocentered Social Networks in form: A Tutorial. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to:

Louisa M. Reins, Email: louisareins@icloud.com

#### Abstract

In psychological science, egocentered social networks are assessed to investigate the patterning and development of social relationships. In this approach, a focal individual is typically asked to report the people they interact with in specific contexts and to provide additional information on those interaction partners and the relationships with them. While egocentered social networks hold considerable promise to investigate various interesting questions from psychology and beyond, their implementation can be challenging. This tutorial provides researchers with detailed instructions on how to set up a study involving egocentered social networks online using the open-source software *formr*. By including a fully functional study template for the assessment of social networks and extensions to this design, we hope to equip researchers from different backgrounds with the tools necessary to collect social network data tailored to their research needs.

Keywords: social networks; online assessment; open source

#### Introduction

The investigation of social networks, which describe the interactions and relationships among finite sets of individuals, is a research tool that can prove valuable for researchers from various fields of psychology and beyond. While more traditional survey approaches often focus on individuals only, the social network approach aims to investigate the behavior and attitudes of individuals in relation to their social relationships and interactions, thus acknowledging the important role social contexts often play for individual-level outcomes. One way of studying social networks is the assessment of egocentered networks. Here, a number of interaction partners, as well as attributes of the interaction partners and the relationships with them are self-reported by a focal individual (Marsden, 1990).<sup>1</sup> The investigation of egocentered social networks can be used to examine questions that would not be available via survey approaches that focus on individuals only. In particular, looking at egocentered networks makes it possible to learn more about the patterning of individuals' social relationships and about how these relationships may change over time or across life transitions. In addition, researchers can investigate how relationships affect the behavior and attitudes of individuals and, vice versa, how relationships are affected by the characteristics of the individuals involved. The breadth of the questions previously tackled by employing egocentered social networks demonstrates the value of this tool for different fields of psychology and relationship science in particular. Examples of previous research employing egocentered networks include investigations into how people's social networks are associated with characteristics such as their personality or gender (e.g., Armstrong & Kammrath, 2014; Asendorpf & Wilpers, 1998; Rapp, Ingold, & Freitag, 2019; Zhu, Woo, Porter, & Brzezinski, 2013), how social networks are related to outcomes such as mental and physical health or health-related behaviors (e.g., Aschbrenner et al., 2018; Marquez, Norman, Fowler, Gans, & Marcus, 2018; Meisel et al., 2013; Ueno, 2005; Wyngaerden, Nicaise, Dubois, & Lorant,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In contrast to this, it is also possible to look at *complete networks*. Here, relations between all individuals of a closed population (e.g., a school class or company) are examined (Marsden, 1990).

2019), and how social networks affect or are affected by life events such as residential mobility or the transition to parenthood (e.g., Bost, Cox, Burchinal, & Payne, 2004; Greischel, Noack & Neyer 2016, 2018; Keim, Klärner, & Bernardi, 2009; Lois, 2016; Zimmermann & Neyer, 2013). For more detailed descriptions of previous studies having employed egocentered social networks, see Table A1 in the Appendix. For a more exhaustive discussion of the strengths and limitations of the (egocentered) social network approach in general, see Marsden (1990), Wasserman and Faust (1994), as well as Perry, Pescosolido and Borgatti (2018).

The typical procedure used to assess egocentered social networks via self-report includes the use of so-called *name generators* and *name interpreters* (Marsden, 1990). Name generators usually are (a series of) questions or situational prompts that are supposed to guide participants in coming to think of the people constituting the network in question. Name interpreters, on the other hand, are additional questions that are usually asked in order to obtain more information about the people listed as network members (e.g., age or gender), and about the relationships to those people (e.g., contact frequency, duration, or intensity).

While this procedure can successfully be used in face-to-face or telephone interviews (e.g., Burt, 1984; Kogovšek & Ferligoj, 2005), there are several advantages of assessing egocentered social networks via online surveys. In general, using online surveys allows researchers to reach out to large, potentially diverse, as well as specialized samples (Kraut et al., 2004). Furthermore, as participants do not need to come into the lab and be instructed or supervised by a human experimenter, the cost and effort of conducting research may be reduced, and participants' experience of anonymity may be facilitated. Finally, and of particular importance for the assessment of social networks itself, online implementations are flexible in the sense that they allow for an adaptation of instructions or questions based on earlier responses. This is especially useful as the procedure of using name generators

followed by name interpreters requires the latter to be adapted to the number of people listed as network members, and/or to their names and attributes.

A number of studies have already been conducted using online implementations of egocentered social networks (e.g., Armstrong & Kammrath, 2014; Borschel et al., 2019; Greischel, Noack, & Neyer, 2016, 2018; Zimmermann & Neyer, 2013). However, setting up the assessment of social networks from scratch might pose a challenge to researchers. Features such as name interpreters being contingent on the number and type of people listed as the network involve some complexity that may be difficult to implement. Therefore, the aim of this tutorial is to provide detailed instructions on how to assess egocentered social networks online using the open-source software *formr* (Arslan, Walther, & Tata, 2019). By this, we hope to enable researchers from all backgrounds to employ the valuable method of egocentered social network assessments.

While there are different tools available that allow for the online assessment of social networks (e.g., specialized graphical network tools such as EgoNet, EgoWeb 2.0, GENSI, Network Canvas, VennMaker; general survey tools such as Qualtrics), each coming along with their own strengths and research questions they are most suitable for, we decided to base our tutorial on formr for several reasons. First of all, formr is free open-source software that makes it possible to easily share reproducible study setups. In contrast to software where access to the software itself or to reproducible study setups might not be available to everyone, this allows for a better evaluation and replication of scientific methods and ensures transparency (Nosek et al., 2015). Second, formr is readily integrated with the programming language R. This allows researchers familiar with R to use their favorite packages in order to enable complex features in formr (such as plotting graphs on the basis of participants' responses, which can be used to monitor a study's progress or to provide feedback to participants; or even using analyses of participants' social media feeds to determine what

kind of questions they will be presented with, for instance to ask questions about people with whom they frequently interacted on Twitter).

With regard to egocentered social networks in particular, formr may be a good choice as it allows for the incorporation of all kinds of functions needed to implement basic as well as more complex network assessments. While some of these functions are directly built into the formr interface, others can be enabled due to formr's integration with the R ecosystem of packages. For one thing, using formr makes it possible to easily assess more information about the people listed as network members (e.g., by allowing to make name interpreters contingent on the previously listed network, or to loop through the same survey page for all of the network members). For another thing, it allows to create personalized (graphical) feedback on the basis of participants' responses (e.g., about the size and composition of their social network, or about how characteristics of focal participants and the network members influence certain assessed variables). This sort of feedback has proven to be an excellent way to incentivize participants throughout extensive studies and, when provided as examples in advertisements along with the study description, to also effectively motivate people to participate in the first place. Moreover, using form allows for the assessment of networks in the context of experience sampling or other longitudinal designs (including the possibility to send out personalized reminders to focal participants), and for an additional assessment of the people who were listed as network members (including the possibility to create peer links for focal participants to pass on to their network members). It is even possible to incorporate other existing JavaScript-based social network assessment tools in formr (e.g., graphical tools such as the Graphical Ego-centered Network Survey Interface (GENSI; Stark & Krosnick, 2017)). To sum up, even the most sophisticated designs involving social networks can be implemented in formr, making it a useful tool for the investigation of a wide range of different networks and research questions.

It would lie beyond the scope of our tutorial to explain all of these options in detail; therefore, our focus in the following will be to explain how to build up a more basic network assessment in formr, including looped and network-contingent name interpreters as well as a network-based feedback. However, we will briefly discuss some examples of more complex designs that could in the future be implemented in formr in the discussion section and, for readers familiar with JavaScript, we also include an example of how the graphical tool GENSI (Stark & Krosnick, 2017) can be incorporated in formr at the end of the tutorial section.

#### Disclosures

All materials used in this tutorial have been made publicly available via the OSF and can be accessed at https://osf.io/sgkwz/.

# Tutorial: Assessing Egocentered Social Networks in formr

#### Sample Study and Information about formr

**Sample Study.** To guide you through the process of building up a study capturing egocentered social networks in formr, we have created a sample study designed to assess social *support networks*. We will use this study to guide you through the different steps of implementation and, at the same time, provide you with a template you can later use as a starting point to create your own social network study.

In our sample study, we seek to answer the following research questions: How are people's personalities related to aspects of their support network (e.g., the size of the network, the amount of contact to the members of the network, and the experienced closeness to those members)? And does the degree of similarity between a person's own personality and the network members' personalities predict the amount of contact and experienced closeness? These research questions represent fairly typical examples of questions that are especially suited for the egocentered network approach and would not be readily available by employing other approaches. That is, by laying focus on the interaction between people's

characteristics and their relationships, the investigation of these questions requires researchers to move beyond the assessment of individuals in isolation only. As support networks constitute a specific and subjective subset of people's broad social networks, insight can best be gained by asking for supportive peers from the perspective of the focal individual. In order to get at these research questions, in our sample study, we will assess information about the focal participant and their personality, about the people in the focal participant's support network, about the amount of contact and experienced closeness to the network members, as well as about the personalities of the network members.

In the following, we will explain how we gather this kind of data using formr in our template study. In particular, we will focus on the assessment of the network itself and ways of collecting more information about the people listed there by referring back to data provided by participants earlier in the course of the study. With this, we aim to equip you with the basic tools needed to implement social network assessments in formr and enable you to adapt our templates to your own research needs. Before we do so, let us give you a brief introduction to the formr framework.

About formr. Formr is a non-commercial, online open-source survey framework (Arslan et al., 2019) that allows for the implementation of simple cross-sectional surveys as well as more complex study designs such as longitudinal, dyadic, or experience sampling studies. A freely usable version of formr is accessible at formr.org, hosted at the University of Goettingen, but self-hosting the software is possible, too.

Form consists of three main components. The first component is the *survey framework*, which allows you to specify the information to be gathered in your study in socalled *item tables*. Item tables are spreadsheets of different possible file formats (e.g., Excel files, Google Sheets), which make up your survey when being uploaded to formr's survey framework. The second component is the *study control framework*, which allows you to specify the overall design of your study in a so-called *run*. As part of the study design, the

study control framework allows you to set up automated invitation or reminder emails and personalized feedback. Using formr necessarily includes using the study control framework (i.e., a run), although it is possible to use the study control framework without using the survey framework, for example when another data collection module is used instead.<sup>2</sup> The third main component of formr is a *utility R package* (Arslan, 2018). As mentioned before, you can enable complex features in formr by executing R code in the survey spreadsheets, as well as in the study control framework (i.e., the run).<sup>3</sup> The utility R package includes helper functions to organize and analyze data collected with formr (during and after the collection).

For further information on formr, please see Arslan et al. (2019), the documentation section on the formr website (<u>https://formr.org/</u>), and the formr Wiki on GitHub (<u>https://github.com/rubenarslan/formr.org/wiki</u>). In addition, please see Table A2 in the Appendix for a cheat sheet explaining all formr-related terms we are using in this tutorial.

#### **Steps of Implementation**

Let us now have a look at the different steps of building up a fully functional study for the assessment of egocentered social networks in formr. We will start off by discussing some questions you might want to consider prior to implementing your study, and by showing you how to create your own formr account. Next, we will explain how to set up a basic egocentered network study in formr using the survey and study control frameworks, as well as how to test and finally run the study. As add-ons, we will also discuss how to implement personalized feedback and how to incorporate the graphical network tool GENSI (Stark & Krosnick, 2017). Please note that in order to create feedback in formr, the use of the programming language R is required; therefore, some basic experience with R will be beneficial—although not necessary—for understanding this part of our tutorial. Similarly,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For instance, it would be possible to implement components of one's study in other survey frameworks such as SoSciSurvey (Leiner, 2014) or Limesurvey (Limesurvey GmBH, 2012) and integrate these into a larger study built in formr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>For example, by using the packages rmarkdown (Allaire et al., 2018) and knitr (Xie, 2018) question texts and sequences can be adapted to information given earlier by participants, while by using the package ggplot2 (Wickham et al., 2018), graphical feedback can be created on the basis of participants' answers.

incorporating GENSI requires the use of JavaScript, for which reason this particular section will appeal mostly to readers with previous knowledge of JavaScript. Importantly, however, understanding and reproducing the remaining (and most central) sections of our tutorial will not require any previous knowledge of form or any programming language.

**Considerations in advance.** Before starting to implement your social networks study in formr, there are a few questions you should consider. Please note that all considerations addressed in this section are again summarized in Table A3 in the Appendix (together with some more considerations pertaining to problems that are specific to the egocentered network approach, which will be addressed in the discussion section).

First of all, you should ask yourself what kind of egocentered social network you want to assess. Put differently: Which kinds of social interactions are of interest to you? Five types of (partly overlapping) egocentered social networks have been distinguished in the literature: networks of *close associates* (i.e., networks of people important to the focal person), exchange networks (i.e., networks of the people providing material or symbolic support, as well as people who provide criticism or refuse to provide support), interactive networks (i.e., the people interacted with in certain contexts or during a certain period of time), role-relation networks (i.e., networks defined by social roles) and global networks (i.e., all people one knows; Milardo, 1992; van Sonderen, Ormel, Brilman, & van Linden van den Heuvell, 1990). In addition, you could also be interested in studying members of people's networks with certain characteristics who do not make up any of these network types (e.g., specific networks such as all people one knows who play a musical instrument). To provide you with some guidance on applications and research questions related to different kinds of networks, Table A1 in the Appendix lists examples of studies having targeted each of the network types described above. In our sample study, we aimed to assess a typical subtype of exchange networks (Milardo, 1992): The people our focal participants would ask for support in different situations.

A second consideration is how you would like to guide your participants in the creation of their networks. As mentioned before, one way of doing so is using name generators, i.e., questions or prompts that instruct participants to list the people constituting the network in question. For example, if you wanted to assess a broad interaction network, you could list different life contexts (e.g., university, work, recreational activities) and ask for the people typically interacted with in these contexts (Bidart & Charbonneau, 2011). If you were interested in a narrower network, you could ask about the people who impact participants' attitudes, behaviors, or wellbeing the most (McCallister & Fischer, 1978). Deciding on which name generators to use is a highly important step in planning your study, as the name generators will determine the characteristics and the amount of people that your participants are going to list as their network. Therefore, you should make sure that the type and amount of name generators you choose corresponds to the network you want to assess. In our sample study, to assess support networks, we provided participants with a set of situations that could require the support of others and asked which people they would typically ask for help in these situations. For further examples of name generators that have previously been used in the literature (as well as the kinds of networks they may elicit), please see Table A1 in the Appendix.

Third, you will need to specify how many people your participants will be allowed to list as their network. For a first orientation, you may want to consult previous studies that have employed egocentered networks for typical as well as maximum network sizes (again, you may refer to Table A1 in the Appendix for a quick overview of the network sizes elicited in a selection of previous studies). Another way to approach this would be to pilot your method of assessing your network of interest (including your name generators) in a small sample of face-to-face interviews. By piloting your social network assessment with people closely mirroring the population you want to target with your larger online study, you should get a good handle on what might constitute an appropriate maximum network size. In any

case, you should make sure that the amount of people who can be listed fits the number of people your instructions or name generators make your participants think of. In addition, depending on the depth of information you want to gather about each of the network members afterwards, you may or may not have to restrict yourself to having participants list fewer (maybe only the most important) network members. However, you should keep in mind that forcing participants to omit important network members or to list people less important to their network by adopting arbitrary upper or lower boundaries may lead to misleading or artificial results. For our sample study, we decided to let participants list a maximum of 12 people they would turn to when in need of support. As an alternative to setting an upper limit on the number of network members that can be listed, you could also draw a random selection of all listed network members for further in-depth information collection (e.g., Golinelli et al., 2010).

This leads us to a fourth important consideration: What kind of additional information on the network members do you want to gather and with which measures? As mentioned before, such additional questions about the network members are referred to as name interpreters. For our sample study, apart from having our participants rate their own personalities, we decided to ask them about how they perceive the personalities of the people in their network, as well as about the amount of contact and experienced closeness to those people. Again, and complementing what we outlined above, if you allow a lot of people to be listed, you may have to cut down on the amount of additional information being collected.

Finally, you may want to think about how to keep your participants motivated throughout your study. Social network assessments can place a heavy burden on participants, especially if participants are required to answer the same set of questions over and over again for a large number of network members. One way to motivate participants to engage in and work through an extensive network assessment might be by rewarding participants with personalized feedback based on data gathered during the course of study upon completion of the assessment. In our sample study, we decided to provide participants with graphical feedback on their Big Five personality traits as well as with feedback regarding their social network. In particular, participants also received graphical feedback about how they perceive their own personality compared to how they perceive the personalities of their network members, and how the time they have known their network members is related to how close they feel to them.

**Step 1: Creating a formr account.** Now, let us get started with the implementation in formr. As mentioned before, all formr-related terms we are using in this tutorial are again explained in Table A2 in the Appendix; please refer to this table in case you have trouble understanding any of the terms. In order to create your own studies in formr, you will first need to create a formr administrator account. To get an account on the public instance, please go to <u>https://formr.org/register</u>. Upon successfully submitting your email address and a password on this page, you should receive an email asking you to confirm your email address. As described in more detail on the registration page, you will need to send a short email to one of the formr authors after registering.<sup>4</sup> After checking your email and academic affiliation, the authors will then grant you administrator rights. You will now be able to log in with your new account and start setting up your own study.

**Step 2: The survey spreadsheet.** To get started with your first formr study, you will need to create a survey spreadsheet. The survey sheets for our sample study can be downloaded as Excel files from the "Spreadsheets" folder on the tutorial's OSF page (https://osf.io/sgkwz/). Please save the files under their preset names, as we are using these names to refer back to items in the spreadsheets (e.g., when creating adapted questions or feedback). If you change the file names, you will have to adjust each of these references according to the new names. As you can see, the spreadsheet folder on the OSF contains

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Another possibility to upgrade your account to an account with administrator rights would be to use a so-called referral token. Such tokens may come in especially handy if you use formr in your teaching and want to enable a whole group of students to quickly start out with administrator rights for their own accounts. If you want to use a referral token, please contact the formr authors.

multiple spreadsheets; together, these spreadsheets make up a complete and fully functional survey when embedded in a run. In the following, we will use these survey sheets for our tutorial.<sup>5</sup> Let us start with having a look at the survey spreadsheet defining the part of our sample study that contains the assessment of the social network (the survey spreadsheet named "networks\_template\_networks\_part1"). To understand what we are going to achieve with this spreadsheet, see Figure 1, which shows what the page we are going to create with our spreadsheet will look like to participants.

#### Figure 1

First Part of the form User Interface Assessing the Social Network as Produced by the Survey Spreadsheet "networks template network part 1"

#### Your social network

In the following part of the study we would like to learn more about your **social network**. We are especially interested in the people you would turn to in times of need. Therefore, we would like you to list all of the people in your social network you would ask for **support** in different situations below.

You can use your their real names as well as nicknames or shortcuts. Since we will ask you some more questions about the people in your network later on, you should be able to remember which person you were referring to by which name. For the same reason, please do not list two different people under the exact same name.

All information you enter about the people in your social network will be stored securely, treated confidentially, and only be used for scientific purposes.

You can decide how many people you want to list, but you will not be able to list more than 12 people. Please use the + and - buttons to add or remove listings.

Caroline	36	٢	female	*	friend
Name	Age (in years)	٢	Sex	*	This person's relation to you 🔻
Do you want to add anoth	er person?	•	• •		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Please note that some of the spreadsheets contained in the OSF folder (i.e. "networks\_template\_ demographics", "networks\_template\_personality\_self", and "networks\_template\_personality\_others") will not be discussed explicitly in this tutorial, as all functions used there will already have been covered by looking at the sheets regarding the social network assessment. We nevertheless included them in our template, as our aim was to provide a complete and fully functional study template. The sheets include an intro to the study and demographic questions, as well as questions about the focal participant's personality and the perceived personality of the network members.

Note. Fictional information about a person called Caroline was added as the first listing.

Figure 2 depicts the survey spreadsheet corresponding to the interface you see above ("networks\_template\_network\_part1"). The basic structure of a survey sheet is that it contains a number of columns with predefined names: In the *type* column, you specify what kind of item you are creating (e.g., plain text, text input, multiple-choice question), whereas you name your item in the *name* column, and specify its exact wording in the *label* column. The *class column* is typically used to control your items' visual appearance (e.g., by adjusting width or alignment of your text).<sup>6</sup>

*Creating a heading and instructions.* As you can see in Figure 1, the network assessment page starts with a heading and the instruction to list names and other information about the people one would ask for support. To create items simply containing text for a heading and an instruction, in row 1 and 2 of Figure 2, we define the item types as "note" in the type column and put our text into the label column.

*Spontaneous retrieval of the social network.* Figure 1 further shows that the instructions are followed by input fields, asking for the name and some further information about the first person listed by the participant. In the item names, we will refer to the people listed as P1, P2, and so forth, depending on the order they were listed in. In Figure 2, the input items for the first person are defined in rows 3 to 6. In row 3, we ask for the person's name, which can be added into a text input field with a maximum of 50 characters allowed. To do so, we define the item type as "text 50" in the type column. In row 4, we ask about the person's age, which can be added as a whole number between 12 and 99 (type: "number 12, 99, 1"). In row 5, the person's sex is to be chosen from a selection of predefined choices (type: "select\_one"). To define choices for your items, you have to navigate to the *choices* table of the survey spreadsheet. Your survey spreadsheet consists of two different

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Please note that we will not discuss these visual styling options in detail in this tutorial (and therefore we will not explicitly explain the classes used in our sample spreadsheets). Please have a look at the documentation section on the form website (<u>https://formr.org/</u>) for more information on classes.

worksheets, one labeled *survey* (this is the part of the spreadsheet we were looking at so far), while the other one is labeled *choices*. You can navigate between the two worksheets by clicking on their respective tabs in the lower left corner of the spreadsheet. In the choices worksheet, you will find the choices table, where the *name\_list* column contains the names of your choice lists, while you set the choices names (or values) in the *name* column, and their labels in the *label* column. Have a look at Figure 3 to see the choices worksheet of our survey spreadsheet "networks\_template\_network\_part1". For our sex item, we are using the choice list "sex\_list". To do so, we refer to this list in the survey table of our survey spreadsheet in the type column of row 5 (by putting "sex\_list" after "select\_one"). In row 6 of the survey table, we then define another item of the type "select\_one" to ask for the relationship the participant has with the listed person. Here, we refer to the predefined choice list "relation list" (as depicted in Figure 3).

In our sample study, at this point, this is all we want to know about each person in the network. Thus, we continue with defining the same questions for the next person who is going to be listed (and whom we are going to call P2, as mentioned earlier). To do so, we can copy rows 3 to 6 and insert them below, changing only the item names in the name column by replacing P1 with P2 for all items, as shown in rows 7 to 10 of Figure 2. In our sample study, participants are allowed to list 12 people. We thus have to repeat this step another 10 times, always changing the P-count in the item names by one, until we get 12 sets of questions. Since all of these questions follow the same logic, Figure 2 only shows the items for the first two people listed.

Now, if we left the survey sheet like this, participants would see the four different questions 12 times upon entering the page. It would look nicer though, if, as shown in Figure 1, the questions would only show up once for the very first network member to be listed and additional questions for more network members would only appear when participants in fact wanted to list more people. To enable this, we create a counter that increases its value by one if participants click a "+" button and decreases by one if participants click a "-" button. To do so, we add a new item of the type "number" and with the class "counter", as done in the last row of Figure 2 for the item named "counter\_SN". To specify the counting procedure so that for each click the counter will increase by one until it reaches the value of 12, we put "number 1, 12" into the type column. To set the starting point of the counter as one, we put "1" into the *value* column of this row. Now, our next step is to use the *showif* column to specify for which values of the counter the question items are to be shown. Since the questions about the first person to be listed are supposed to appear upon entering the page, we can leave the *showif* column for rows 3 to 6 in Figure 2 empty. For the questions about the second person (rows 7 to 10) to show up only after the "+" button has been clicked once, we specify that these items are only shown if the value of the counter is bigger than one (since the counter\_SN > 1" in the *showif* column of these rows. Finally, we have to repeat this step for all other input items, always increasing the value after the more-than-symbol by one for each new set of questions.

#### Figure 2

class	type	name	showif	value	label	optional
	note	instruction_socialn			###Your social	
		etwork_heading			network	
	note	instruction_socialn			In the following	
		etwork			part of the study	
					we would like to	
					learn more about	
					yoursocial	
					network ()	
clear right150	text 50	name_P1				
align_horizontally						
select2 label_as_						
placeholder						
row_height_40					Name	
right150 align_	number	age_P1				
horizontally	12,99,1					
select2 label_as_					Age (in years)	

First Part of the Survey Worksheet of Spreadsheet "networks\_template\_network\_part1"

placeholder						
row_height_40						
right150 align	select	sex P1				
horizontally	one	_				
select2 label as	sex list					
placeholder	_					
row_height_40					Sex	
right150 align_	select_	relation_P1				
horizontally	one					
select2 label_as_	relation_					
placeholder	list					
row_height_40					This person's	
space_bottom_20					relation to you	
clear right150	text 50	name_P2				
align_horizontally						
select2 label_as_						
placeholder						
row_height_40			count_SN > 1		Name	
right150 align_	number	age_P2				
horizontally	12,99,1					
select2 label_as_						
placeholder						
row_height_40			$count_SN > 1$		Age (in years)	
right150 align_	select_	sex_P2				
horizontally	one					
select2 label_as_	sex_list					
placeholder						
row_height_40			$count_SN > 1$		Sex	
right150 align_	select_	relation_P2				
horizontally	one					
select2 label_as_	relation_					
placeholder	list					
row_height_40					This person's	
space_bottom_20			count_SN > 1		relation to you	
repeat the four r	ows anothe	er ten times for each p	person allowed to	be listed.	Make sure to incre	ease the
numbers in the vari	iable names	s and show if column	by 1 for each new	w person.		
					Do you want to	
clear counter	number				add another	
space_bottom_60	1,12	count_SN		1	person?	

*Note.* (...) = content of items abbreviated.

## Figure 3

Choices Worksheet of Spreadsheet "networks\_template\_network\_part1"

list_name	name	label			
sex_list	1	female	relation_list	6	parent
sex_list	2	male	relation_list	7	sibling
sex_list	3	other	relation_list	8	child
relation_list	1	acquaintance	relation_list	9	other family member
relation_list	2	colleague / work related	relation_list	10	other

relation_list	3	friend	yesno_list	1 no
relation_list	4	romantic partner	yesno_list	2 yes
relation list	5	spouse		

*Cued retrieval of the social network*. At this point of the study, the participants should have listed the people they spontaneously thought of after reading the first instructions. To make sure that all people relevant to the network in question have been listed, participants were further given name generators, as shown in Figure 4, unless they had already listed the maximum number of 12 people. This part of the survey is defined in the second half of the survey spreadsheet "networks\_template\_network\_part1". The name generators appeared to participants one after another, only after each previous checkbox had been ticked.

#### Figure 4

Second Part of the formr User Interface Assessing the Social Network as Produced by the Survey Spreadsheet "networks\_template\_network\_part\_1"



Submit

Now have a look at Figure 5 to see how the name generators and their instructions are produced in the second part of the survey spreadsheet "networks\_template\_network\_part1". Row 1 of Figure 5 creates an instruction text, informing participants they will now be told about different situations and instructing them to add every new person these situations make them think of. The additional instructions and questions are only supposed to be shown if participants have not already listed 12 people, therefore row 1 and all remaining rows include the condition "counter\_SN < 12" in the *showif* column. By typing "check" into the type column of row 2, we create a checkbox called "prompt1". For the first name generator to show up only after this first checkbox has been checked, we specify "prompt1 == 1" in the *showif* column of row 3. We are setting it as "1" since this equals the value of the item if the box has been checked (in contrast to 0 if it has not been checked). The name generator will

show up together with another checkbox item named "prompt2", as specified in row 4 of Figure 5. Again, this box has to be checked for the second name generator and its respective checkbox named "prompt3" to show up (that is why we put "prompt2 == 1" in the *showif* column of row 5 and 6 of Figure 5), while the third name generator only appears after the checkbox of generator two has been checked (so we put "prompt3 == 1" in the *showif* column of row 8 and 9). Finally, the participants will be shown a final instruction, as specified in row 10 of Figure 5, after the checkbox of the third name generator, namely prompt4, has been checked.

Last but not least, the page also includes a submit button, which appears on the bottom of the page, but can only be clicked once all mandatory questions have been answered. The submit button (type: "submit") is defined in the last row of Figure 5. In formr, multiple-choice items are mandatory by default, while check boxes usually are not. Since we wanted our participants to have to go through the name generators before continuing to the next page, we specified for our checkboxes (rows 2, 4, 6 and 8 of Figure 5) that we want these items to be mandatory as well by putting a "!" into the optional column of these items.

#### Figure 5

class	type	name	showif	value	label	optional
					Are you sure you have	
					listed all of the people you	
					would ask for	
					support? To make	
					sure you didn't forget	
					anyone, we will now show	
					you a few examples of	
					situations in which you	
		prompts_	count_SN <		could need support	
	note	instruction	12		sometimes. ()	
left600 space_			count_SN <		Please check the box to the	
bottom_30	check	prompt1	12		right to see the situations.	!
			prompt1 == 1		Whom would you turn to	
			&& count_SN		if you neededemotional	
	note	prompt1_text	< 12		support, ()	

Second Part of the Survey Worksheet of Spreadsheet "networks template network part1"

				(	() If you have listed all	
			prompt1 == 1	1	the people this makes you	
left600			&& count_SN	1	think of, please check the	
space_bottom_30	check	prompt2	< 12	1	box to continue.	!
				1	Whom would you turn to	
			prompt2 == 1	i	if you needed	
			&& count_SN	-	instrumental support,	
	note	prompt2_text	< 12	(	()	
				(	() If you have listed all	
			prompt2 == 1	1	the people this makes you	
left600			&& count_SN	1	think of, please check the	
space_bottom_30	check	prompt3	< 12	1	box to continue.	!
					Whom would you turn to	
			prompt3 == 1	i	if you needed	
			&& count_SN		informational	
	note	prompt3_text	< 12	5	support, ()	
				(	() If you have listed all	
			prompt3 == 1	1	the people this makes you	
left600			&& count_SN	1	think of, please check the	
space_bottom_30	check	prompt4	< 12	1	box to continue.	!
				(	Great! You can now	
			prompt4 == 1		continue with the next part	
		instruction_	&& count_SN		of the study by using the	
	note	submit	< 12	5	submit button.	
left700	submit	submit_1		1	Submit	

*Note.* (...) = content of items abbreviated.

*Referring back to the people listed in the network.* Because you will most likely want to find out more about the network members listed by the participants, we also include a description of how to refer back to the network members in this tutorial. In our sample study, we wanted to ask participants additional questions about their relationship to the people in their network on a subsequent page. Specifically, we asked them to report how long they knew each member of their network, how much contact they had to each member, and how close they felt to them. The answer options to the last item were taken from the Inclusion of Other in the Self Scale by Aron, Aron, and Smollan (1992). Here, one out of seven images of two circles, which depict the self and the person to which the amount of felt closeness is to be

rated, is to be chosen as a response.<sup>7</sup> These further questions about the network members are specified in the spreadsheet named "networks\_template\_network\_part2". Have a look at Figure 6 to see the user interface produced by this spreadsheet. To create this figure, we listed a fictional person called Caroline as a 36-year-old female friend on the previous page as part of the support network. Now, the participant is asked for more information about Caroline. As you can see, we did not only want the name of the person to be rated showing up, but also their sex, age and relation to the focal participant. This will be especially helpful for focal participants who only used shortcuts to name the network members and added a lot of people or people with similar names.<sup>8</sup>

#### Figure 6

formr User Interface Assessing Further Information about the Social Network Members as Produced by the Survey Spreadsheet "networks\_template\_network\_part\_2"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>In order to use pictures as response options, just put the picture link in the form of "![description] (link)" into the label column of the choices table for your respective choice list. To upload pictures and retrieve their link, go to your respective run (we will explain more about this later), and upload your files. Afterwards, you can retrieve the link of your file there.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>For example, a male 25-year-old friend called "Max", a female 29-year-old cousin (i.e., other family member) called "Maxi", and a female 25-year-old acquaintance called "M.".

### Your relationships to the people in your social network

On the subsequent pages we will ask you some more questions about the people you've listed earlier. Please select the options that describe your relationship to each person in question best.

We will first ask you some questions about Caroline (female, 36 years old, friend).

For how long have you known Caroline?

How often are you in personal (i.e., face-to-face) contact with Caroline?

How often do you have other contact (e.g., social media, phone) with Caroline?

How close are you to Caroline?



*Note.* A fictional person called Caroline was listed as an example. The answer options for the closeness question are taken from the Inclusion of Other in the Self Scale (Aron, Aron, & Smollan, 1992).

Figure 7 shows the spreadsheet "networks\_template\_network\_part2" that creates the user interface depicted in Figure 6. To ask the participants further questions about the people listed in their network, we have to refer back to answers given earlier in the study and to items specified in a different spreadsheet. In general, there are different ways to achieve this. If you wanted to use adaptations only a few times, you could simply put the R code

calculating your item of interest's value (i.e., the code that will retrieve and print out the answers given by each participant to a certain item) right into the label column where you want your text to be adapted.<sup>9</sup> However, as we need to refer to the names and attributes (i.e. age, sex, relationship to focal person) of all people listed, we will instead define new variables that calculate the relevant information for us at the beginning of our spreadsheet, in order to later use these variable names as placeholders in our labels. Furthermore, we will combine this approach with a loop: the same page (as in Figure 6) will be repeatedly shown to participants for each person they have listed as their network members. For this to work, we will define our new variables in a way that the first time the page is accessed the name and information about the first person listed will be calculated, while the second time the page is shown the name and information about the second person listed will be calculated, and so on. For such a loop to work correctly, we need to specify in the study's run that participants are to be sent back to the respective survey page again and again until they have rated each one of the network members they had listed. We will have a closer look at how to achieve this in the section describing how to set up the study's run and first continue with looking at how to define our new variables that calculate each network member's name, age, sex, and relationship to the focal person.

In order to define our new variables, we created 5 items of the type "calculate" (see rows 2 to 6 in Figure 7). In the name column, we define the names we want to use to refer to the calculated variables, while we put the R code used to calculate the variables in the value column. In row 2, we first define the variable "person", where the command

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>For example, if you wanted to refer to the first person listed, you could get their name printed by simply putting "'r networks\_template\_network\_part1\$name\_P1'" into your label column. The part after the dollar sign refers to the item you want to get the information out of and the part in front of the dollar sign refers to the spreadsheet the item is specified in, while you need the part "'r '" to specify that this is not plain text, but code which is to be executed in R via rmarkdown. However, this option only works for simple input items (e.g., for items like our name and age questions), or if you specify your written labels directly in your choices worksheet's name column (instead of in its label column; however, in the name column no special characters or spaces are allowed). Otherwise (e.g., for items like our sex and relationship questions), you would need more complex commands to print out the answers given to these items in terms of their written labels (and not the numerical values specified in the name column of your choice's worksheet).

"nrow(networks\_template\_network\_part2)" counts the rows of the result sheet of the survey "networks\_template\_network\_part2" for each participant's session. Therefore, the item "person" yields 1 when participants see the survey page for the first time, 2 when they see it for the second time, and so on. In row 3, the item "person\_name" calculates the names of the network members. As the person variable counts up from 1, the command "networks\_template\_network\_part1[, paste0 ("name\_P", *person*)]" accesses the information stored in the items "name\_P1", "name\_P2", and so on, in the sheet containing the results each participant gave in response to the items of the networks\_template\_network\_part1 survey sheet. Using the same logic, the respective network members' age, sex, and relationship to the focal person are calculated in rows 3 to 6.<sup>10</sup> Having defined these new variables, we can now use them as placeholders in our labels as done in the label column in rows 8 to 13. Please note that when referring to calculated variables in the label column, we need to specify that we do not want plain text to be printed, but that we are referring to a variable that needs to be calculated via R. We can achieve this by putting "r "" around the name of the variable to be calculated (e.g., "r person name"" instead of "person name").

In order for the general instruction only to be shown once and to tailor the further instructions to whether the page is seen for the first time or not, we furthermore put the conditions "person == 1" (which makes items only show up once when the page is accessed for the first time) and "person != 1" (which makes items show up only for the following loops), into the show if column of the items in rows 7 to 9.

#### Figure 7

Survey Worksheet of Spreadsheet "networks template network part2"

class	type	name	showif	value	label
	note	instruction_			### Your relationships to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Please note that for our sex and relationship items, which are not simple input items, but items with predefined choice lists, the function "calculate" automatically transforms the answers, which are stored in the result sheet in terms of the values specified in the choices sheet's name column, into the respective labels specified in the choices sheet's label column.

		contact_			people in your social network
		title			
				nrow(networks_template_	
				network_part2) ()	
	calculate	person			
				networks_template_	
		person_		network_part1[, paste0	
	calculate	name		("name_P", person)]	
				networks_template_	
				network_part1[, paste0	
	calculate	person_sex		("sex_P", person)]	
				networks_template_	
				network_part1[, paste0	
	calculate	person age		("age_P", person)]	
				networks template	
		person		network part1[, paste0	
	calculate	relation		("relation P", person)]	
					On the subsequent pages we
		general			will ask you some more
		instruction	person		questions about the people
	note	contact	== 1		you've listed earlier. ()
					We will first ask you some
		instruction_	person		questions about`r
left500	note	contact_1	== 1		person_name` $()^{11}$
					We will now only you come
		in stars still a			we will now ask you some
108500	noto	instruction_	l = 1		questions about I
lensou	note	contact_2	!= 1		person_name ()
	select_				
	one				For how long have you known
	choice_	known_			'r person_name'?
left500	list_2	how_long			
	select_				How often are you in personal
	one				(i.e. face-to-face) contact with
	choice_	contact_			r person name'?
left500	list_3	personal			r person_name .
	select_				How often do you have other
	one				contact (e.g., social media,
	choice_	contact_			phone)
left500	list_3	media			with `r person_name`?
	mc_				
	button				
left500	choice_				How close are you to `r
()	list_4	closeness			person_name`?
1oft700	submit	submit			Submit

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Please note that only the part of the label leading to the display of the listed person's name is printed here. To view the whole label, please see the corresponding spreadsheet on the OSF.

*Note*. (...) = content abbreviated.

**Step 3: Survey upload.** To upload your survey spreadsheet(s), you need to log into formr with your administrator account and choose "Create new Survey" on the formr admin page. Here, you can upload item tables as local Excel files, OpenDocument spreadsheets, and comma- or tab-separated value files, or import them in the form of a Google Sheet.<sup>12</sup> Besides allowing easy sharing of the item table for collaboration with others, Google Sheets will give you the advantage of allowing the synchronization of changes in the sheet with formr in one click, which simplifies debugging. When uploading an item table, your survey will be named after your file, while you can choose a name when importing a Google Sheet. Make sure to use meaningful survey names, because these names will be used to refer to each surveys' results in different places, and it will be difficult to change survey names once the study has started.

To recreate our study template, you need to upload our sample spreadsheets. In total, these are five sheets. So far we have looked in detail at the two sheets assessing the social network and the relationships to the people in it. Furthermore, the template includes one spreadsheet with demographic questions and two sheets assessing the personality of the focal person and the personalities of the people in the network, respectively.

By uploading these sheets, you are creating five new surveys named after the spreadsheets' file names. If you have uploaded our sample spreadsheets to Google Sheets, please be sure to name them after their original file names.<sup>13</sup> After creating your surveys, you can navigate to their respective survey pages by clicking on the "Surveys" tab in the upper left corner of the admin pages. Among other things, on the survey pages, you can update your

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>For the last option (using a Google Sheet) to work, you need to make sure that your sheet is accessible for anyone with a link. To achieve this, you have to click on the "Share" button in the upper right corner of your Google Sheet and in the new window that pops up choose "Anyone with the link can view". Now you can use this link to upload the Google Sheet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>As explained earlier, these names are used to create the adapted questions and the personalized feedback. Therefore, these features will no longer work if you change the file names without changing the code accordingly.

items by re-uploading the item tables or re-synchronizing the Google Sheets after making changes. In addition, you can use the preview to see what the survey will look like to your participants.

**Step 4: Setting up the study—the formr run.** After uploading the spreadsheets that are going to make up your study, you need to bind these different elements together using the study control framework. This is done by creating a new run. To do so, select "Create Run" on the admin page and enter a meaningful name for your run.<sup>14</sup> The formr study control framework is built up as a simple programming environment, where you define the chronological order of your *run modules* (e.g., your different surveys) by using if conditions and GOTO statements. Have a look at Figure 8 to see what the study control area looks like (when no modules have been added yet).

#### Figure 8

Edit Run										am panickir	ng :-(
1 Reorder Lock Export Lock Laport Publicness:								40			
click one of the symbols above to add a module											

Screenshot of the Study Control (Run) Area

As you can see, there is a toolbar depicted at the bottom of this page. The six rightmost symbols of this toolbar resemble a tape deck's controls and represent the following modules, which allow you to control the chronology of your study: Skip Backward (Loop), Pause, Skip Forward (Jump), Waiting Time, Shuffle, and Stop. The Stop module is used to create a study's end page, while you can make participants wait for a certain time by adding a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Please note that you would also have to create a run if your study consisted of only one survey spreadsheet—a minimal version of a run consists of a single survey and an end page in the form of a stop button.

Pause module or send out reminders by using the Waiting Time module. You can send your participants to different parts of your study by defining if statements for Skip Forward and Skip Backward modules, for example, if specific parts of your study should only be shown to people fulfilling certain criteria. Using the Shuffle module, you can randomly assign participants to different groups. The leftmost symbols in the toolbar allow you to add your different surveys as modules to the run (pencil symbol), or to send emails (letter symbol) and make external calls (e.g., sending participants to another survey software, sending out text messages; arrow symbol).

To build up our template study's run, we will need to create five separate Survey modules for each of our different survey pages, two Skip Backwards modules to loop the survey pages asking for in-depth information about the relation to the network members and their personality<sup>15</sup>, as well as a Stop module for our end page. As you add new modules to your run, they will be positioned in the order they were added and given the numbers 10, 20, 30, and so forth. To change the position of a module after adding it to your run, change these numbers accordingly and save the new order by clicking "Reorder" in the upper left corner of the page (see Figure 8).

Have a look at Figure 9 to see an example of what the run modules for our sample study look like (with modules 10, 20, 30 and 80 not being depicted). To build up our run, we start by just adding the survey sheets as new modules to our run (simplest in their chronological order, i.e. "networks\_template\_demography",

"networks\_template\_personality\_self", "networks\_template\_network\_part1", "networks\_template\_network\_part2", and "networks\_template\_personality\_others") by clicking on the pencil symbol and each time choosing the respective survey from the dropdown list. As mentioned before, Skip Backwards modules (loops) are used to send participants back to certain positions in the run if certain conditions are met. In our sample

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Please note that the survey pages asking the focal participant to rate the personality of their network members, which we do not further discuss in this tutorial, work with the same looping mechanism as explained on pages 19 to 21 for the retrieval of further information about the network members.

study, we use such a loop to send our participants back to the survey page asking for additional information about the network members ("networks\_template\_network\_part2") as long as they have not yet provided more information about all of the people they had listed. This is the case as long as the number of rows in the respective results sheet is lesser than the amount of people the participant has listed. Therefore, we create a new Skip Backwards module by clicking on its symbol in the toolbar and we put

"nrow(networks template network part2) < networks template network part1\$count SN" into the Skip Backward modules input field labeled "if..." (see module 50 in Figure 9). Furthermore, we have to specify that in this case participants are to be sent back to the respective sheet ("networks template network part2"). As this sheet is contained in our survey module 40, we put "40" in the Skip Backward modules input field labeled "...skip backward to" (again, see module 50 in Figure 9). Using the same logic, we add another Skip Backwards module to create a loop that sends participants back to the survey page asking about the network members' personalities, until all network members have been rated (see modules 60 and 70 in Figure 9). If you add these two Skip Backwards modules after adding the survey modules, please note that you will have to manually reorder them as explained above for them to be correctly positioned in the run. To create a new end page in the form of a Stop module (which is a required feature for every run to end), you need to click on the square symbol in the tape deck toolbar. On the end page, you could for example enter a debriefing for the participants who finished the study, or, as in our sample study, create personalized feedback on the basis of data provided by the participants. We will explain how to include feedback on the end page of the study in the next section.

#### Figure 9

Screenshots of a Subset of the Run Modules of the Sample Study

Network_part2		Personality_others	
× 40 °	networks_template_network_part2     *       0 complete results, 0 begun ( <u>in ~ 0.25m</u> )       View items     Upload items       Saved     Test	× 60 °	networks_template_personality_others     v       0 complete results, 0 begun ( <u>in ~ 1.65m</u> )       View items     Upload items       Saved     Test
Network_part2_loop	<pre>if prow(networks_template_network_part2) &lt;</pre>	Personality_others_lo	OD if hrow(networks_template_personality_others)
×	networks_template_network_part1\$count_ SNskip backward to 6	×	<pre>&lt; networks_template_network_part1\$coun t_SNskip backward to 60 0 Saved Test</pre>
50 °		10 .	

As mentioned earlier, formr allows for easy sharing of reproducible study materials. For example, this can be done by exporting runs as JSON files. These files include the whole structure of the run (and, if desired, also the survey spreadsheets) and can be used to share designs (and spreadsheets) with collaborators or other researchers interested in replicating your design. You can find the JSON files corresponding to our template study in the "Run Settings" folder of this project on the OSF (https://osf.io/sgkwz/). If you do not want to set up the run manually as described above, you can instead select "Import run" after creating a new run and, after downloading the JSON file from the OSF website, upload it here. Please note that you will find two JSON files in the OSF folder: one including only the structure and settings of the run, and another one additionally including the spreadsheets. If you import the former one, you will still have to add the survey spreadsheets manually to the modules; if you import the latter one, you will directly end up with a fully functional setup of the study.

**Optional step: Including feedback on the end page of the study.** As mentioned earlier, our template study included a page on which participants were asked to rate their own personalities. For this purpose, we used the items of the Ten Item Personality Inventory

(TIPI; Gosling, Rentfrow, & Swann, 2003)<sup>16</sup>. Among other things, this allowed us to provide participants with a feedback of their personality in terms of the Big Five personality traits, in comparison to the distribution of values in a reference sample. Have a look at Figure 10 to see what the feedback looked like to the participants.

#### Figure 10

Big Five Personality Feedback as Shown to Participants on the Sample Study's End Page



Your Scores on the Big Five Personality Traits



interpretation of the graph.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>These items were presented to our participants in a random order. If you want to randomize one block of items, you need to add a new column called *item\_order* to your survey sheet and specify the order you want your items to be shown in, where items that are assigned the same number will be randomized. For example, if you wanted your instruction to be shown first, your submit button to be shown last and all items in between to be randomized, you would assign a 1 to the instruction and a 3 to the submit button, while you would assign a 2 to all items in between. If you wanted to randomize different blocks of items, you would need to add another column labeled *block\_order*, to specify the different blocks. Have a look at our sample spreadsheets "networks\_template\_personality\_self" and "networks\_template\_personality\_others" to see how randomization can be accomplished.

To create feedback appearing on the end page of our study, we have to execute R code in the feedback text field of our end page module in the study control area. Figure 11 shows the code used to create the personality feedback graph. As you can see, the code is preceded and followed by "``", which indicates that we are now writing a new *chunk* of R code, instead of just writing plain text. In the first line of the first paragraph, we are setting the size of the plots that are going to be created in this chunk. In lines 2 to 5, we are loading the packages that are required in order to transform the data into an adequate format for plotting (tidyr, dplyr) and to create a graphical representation of the feedback (ggplot2, ggrepel). In line 6 and 7, we use the command "formr\_post\_process\_results()" to retrieve the data entered in response to our survey spreadsheet called

"networks\_template\_personality\_self" and create a new data frame out of these results. The command leads R to automatically identify which items belong to the same scales of our measure and aggregate them into mean scale scores. In order for this to work, there are certain conventions you need to follow while naming your items. Each item name should consist of the scale name and the position of the item on the scale, with an "R" at the end for reversed items. For example, the two items belonging to the extraversion scale of the TIPI were named "tipi\_self\_extra1" and "tipi\_self\_extra2R" in our spreadsheet.

Since R automatically identified and aggregated the scales for us, we can now continue with transforming these mean scale values according to means and distributions from a reference sample and bind them to our data frame as new variables, as depicted in lines 9 to 18 in Figure 11. In our example, the reference data was taken from Gosling et al. (2003). After doing so, we create a new long format data frame called "big5", containing only the normed values of our five personality domains (with one row per domain), which we need to plot the data. This is done in lines 20 and 21 of Figure 11. Finally, in lines 23 to 34 in Figure 11, we are using ggplot2 to create a graphical representation of the normed values.

#### Figure 11

Code Used to Create Personality Feedback on the Study's End Page

- 1 ```{r motiv.opt,fig.height=9,fig.width=9}
- 2 library(tidyr)
- 3 library(dplyr)
- 4 library(ggplot2)
- 5 library(ggrepel)
- 6 networks\_template\_personality\_self = formr\_post\_process\_results
- 7 (results=networks\_template\_personality\_self, item\_list = NULL, fallback\_max = 7)
- 8
- 9 networks\_template\_personality\_self\$extraversion =
- 10 (networks\_template\_personality\_self\$tipi\_self\_extra 4.44) / 1.45
- 11 networks\_template\_personality\_self\$openness =
- 12 (networks\_template\_personality\_self\$tipi\_self\_open 5.38) / 1.07
- 13 networks\_template\_personality\_self\$conscientiousness =
- 14 (networks\_template\_personality\_self\$tipi\_self\_consc 5.40) / 1.32
- 15 networks\_template\_personality\_self\$agreeableness =
- 16 (networks\_template\_personality\_self\$tipi\_self\_agree 5.23) / 1.11
- 17 networks\_template\_personality\_self\$emotionalstability =
- 18 (networks\_template\_personality\_self\$tipi\_self\_emostab 4.83) / 1.42
- 19
- 20 big5 <- networks template personality self %>% select(extraversion, openness,
- 21 conscientiousness, agreeableness, emotionalstability) %>% gather(variable, value)
- 22
- 23 ggplot(big5,aes(x=variable,y=value, fill = variable))+
- 24 geom\_bar(stat="identity",position=position\_dodge())+
- 25 ylab('Your Score')+
- 26 labs(title="Your Scores on the Big Five Personality Traits")+
- 27 scale\_fill\_brewer("",palette="Set1", breaks = c("extraversion", "openness", "conscientiousness",
- 28 "agreeableness", "emotionalstability"), labels = c("Extraversion", "Openness to \nNew
- 29 Experience", "Conscientiousness", "Agreeableness", "Emotional \nStability"))+
- 30 scale\_y\_continuous(limits=c(-3,3),breaks=c(-2,-1,0,1,2),labels=c('Very
- 31 low','Low','Average','High','Very high')) +
- 32 scale\_x\_discrete("", breaks = c("extraversion", "openness", "conscientiousness", "agreeableness",
- 33 "emotionalstability"), labels = c("Extraversion", "Openness to \nNew Experience",
- 34 "Conscientiousness", "Agreeableness", "Emotional \nStability"))

35 ```

We also added some text around the plot that explains its meaning to participants on the survey end page. This was achieved by putting plain text into the feedback text field of the end page module on the run page.

In addition to this first feedback plot, participants also received graphical feedback about how the time they have known their network members is related to how close they feel to them, and about how they perceive their own personality compared to how they perceive the personalities of their network members. Figure 12 and 13 show what these feedback plots looked like to participants. Please consult the study's run settings (as specified in its respective JSON file, which can be found in the "Run Settings" folder of this project on the OSF (https://osf.io/sgkwz/)) to see the code used to create the additional feedback graphics (including some explanatory comments).

#### Figure 12

# Feedback about the Relationship Between Perceived Closeness to the Network Members and the Years Each Network Member Is Known



Perceived Closeness in Relation to Years Known

Note. Fictional data was added for three network members.

#### Figure 13

Feedback About How the Personalities of the Network Members Are Perceived in Relation to





Note. Fictional data was added for three network members.

**Step 5: Testing the study.** Testing and meticulous debugging are important steps when implementing a study, in particular if your study includes a more complex design such as assignment to conditions, questions that are adapted to previous answers, or personalized feedback. In formr, there are different ways of testing your study. You can test single surveys or a whole run by yourself by clicking "Test Survey" or "Test Run" in the respective "Testing & Management" tab of the survey and run pages. You can also create test links to let other people test your study or use the test links yourself. To do so, under the same tab, go to "Users" and click on "new test users", which will make a new browser window pop up. Copy the link in the new window to retrieve the test link. If you or others test your study this way, a little bar, the so-called *monkey bar*, will appear on the bottom right corner of the page. Using

this *monkey mode* allows you to test the study without filling out every single item by hand the formr monkey is always at your service and will do it for you! The bar also allows hidden items and debugging messages to be shown, to skip pauses and navigate between the different surveys and other modules, as well as to delete the test data for a previous module or the whole test user. When the testing mode is on, any errors in the R code will lead to the code and accompanying debugging messages to be shown.<sup>17</sup> If you choose to create "real users" on the "Users" page, you can create named users, for whom there will be neither the monkey bar nor any debugging messages shown when taking the survey.

If you want your study to be tested by other people, you need to remember to make it accessible for others. As depicted in Figure 8, the publicness settings of your study can also be found on the run page. You can choose between four options: you can make the study visible only to yourself, to people with an access code, to people with the study link, or to everyone (by selecting this last option, the study will show up publicly on <a href="https://formr.org/studies">https://formr.org/studies</a>, too). For others to be able to test your study, you will need to at least set it to public for everyone with an access code, which is also the option we recommend for testing.

**Step 6: Running the study.** After testing your study and making sure everything is working out as intended, you can finally run your study by choosing your desired publicness option (in case it is different from the option you used for testing).

You can see how many people participated in your study so far by looking at the "Users" page already mentioned above. Here, you can also see each user's progress in the run, send them to different positions within the run, or delete their sessions. If you do not delete all the data after testing your study, you will find both test users and real users listed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Please note that when accessing our sample study through the testing mode, you will also be shown some R warning messages. These warning messages serve to detect and debug errors in the code; in our case, however, they are no reason for concern.

here. You can nevertheless easily identify test sessions by an "XXX" and animal names in their code<sup>18</sup> (unless you created new "real users" for testing and gave them different names).

The results of your study are stored separately for every survey. To look at the data, go to each survey page and select "Show Results" in the "Testing & Management" section. Here, you will find the results in wide format, where each row contains the data of one participant and the columns refer to the different items in your survey (with a few additional columns including information about the survey participation, e.g., the session ID [session], when the survey was started [created], and finished [ended]).<sup>19</sup> To download the results, select "Export Results" in the "Testing & Management" section and choose your desired file format.

# Optional Extension: Incorporating the Graphical Ego-centered Network Survey Interface (GENSI; Stark & Krosnick, 2017) in formr

One strength of formr is that it permits extensive customization, including dynamic client-side programming using JavaScript. This makes it possible to use other existing software as modules in formr. For example, the Graphical Ego-centered Network Survey Interface (GENSI; Stark & Krosnick, 2017) can be used to elicit the names and relationships of social network members. GENSI is a JavaScript-based module, which allows participants to add their social network members in a graph. While GENSI can also be used to record demographic information and ratings, it especially excels at collecting network variables, such as recording who knows whom in a network, which can be recorded by drawing connections between nodes in the social network. Network information recorded using GENSI can then be used in the subsequent formr-based study to generate further questions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>This will come in handy later when cleaning your data in R. For example, you could simply use the command "dplyr::filter(dataframe, !grepl('XXX', session)) -> new\_dataframe" to get rid of the data from test sessions. This command creates a new data frame containing only the data sets of your real users, as only rows without an "XXX" in the session column (where the user codes are stored) are included. Alternatively, if you decide to use the accompanying R package for formr, the command "formr\_results" will remove the test cases for you automatically.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>You can also find the results in long format by selecting "Detailed Results" in the upper right corner of the page. Choosing this option, every item response has its own row, which can be useful for later analyses in R. For example, to conduct linear analyses or to plot your data using certain packages (e.g., ggplot) your data will have to be in long format.

and feedback. Figure 14 shows an example of what incorporating GENSI in formr can look like. Here, the names of the network members, their sex, as well as connections between the different network members can be added into a graphical network representation. By selecting the tab "+friend", the network members' names can be added as nodes to the network, and by clicking on the respective nodes, each network member's sex can be indicated (the color of the node will change from green to pink, with green indicating a male network member and pink indicating a female network member).<sup>20</sup> When selecting the tab "Connection", connections between the different network members can be drawn by clicking on their respective nodes. If you continue to the next page afterwards, you will be shown how all of this graphically retrieved information will be stored in formr. The code to create this example's respective run is provided as a JSON file and can be found in the "GENSI Incorporation" folder of this project on the OSF (https://osf.io/sgkwz/).

#### Figure 14

Screenshot of Sample Implementation of GENSI in formr



Note. Information about seven fictional network members was listed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>Please note that different colors could be assigned to represent male and female network members and that further options could be added (e.g., if researchers wanted to provide an exhaustive list of gender options).

#### Discussion

In this tutorial, we demonstrated how to assess egocentered social networks online using the open-source software formr (Arslan et al., 2019). When adequately utilized, assessing social networks can prove to be a valuable tool for the investigation of research questions relating to various fields of psychology and beyond. The online assessment of social networks allows researchers to reach out to large and diverse populations, to collect data fast and cost-effectively, and—an advantage of particular importance for the assessment of egocentered social networks—to automatically adapt instructions or questions to participant's earlier responses (Kraut et al., 2004). However, online assessments of social networks might be hard for researchers to implement.

Therefore, in this tutorial, we provided detailed instructions on how to set up, test and finally run a study assessing egocentered social networks using the open-source software formr. Advantages of using formr are its open accessibility, its integration with the programming language R, and that it readily entails all functions needed to assess basic as well as more sophisticated egocentered social networks. To guide readers through our tutorial, we provided a template for a fully functional study assessing a basic egocentered support network, which can easily be adapted for other research purposes; for readers familiar with R and JavaScript, we also discussed valuable extensions to the template's design, such as the inclusion of personalized feedback and the incorporation of an existing JavaScript based graphical social network assessment tool.

Although assessing egocentered social networks online has many advantages, there are limitations to it as well. While some of these concern online data collections more broadly, other limitations pertain to egocentered social networks in particular. Possible problems of online surveys include biased samples (e.g., it might be hard to reach elderly people), participant dropout and self-selection, lack of control over the environment in which the study is completed, and reduced possibility to assist participants when problems or

difficulties in understanding the instructions occur. In addition, it may be hard to monitor the effects of the assessment on the participants. This can become problematic if sensitive subjects are directly investigated or more indirectly triggered by the content of the social network. Furthermore, online data collection makes it tempting to assess a large amount of data, yet the creation of overly long surveys may place a high burden on participants and even result in poor or missing data (e.g., Converse & Presser, 1986; Valente, 2002). These issues should be carefully considered by researchers who decide to assess social networks using online studies.

Concerning the assessment of egocented social networks itself, one should bear in mind that this assessment ultimately rests on self-reports of individuals and might thus be prone to the same biases (e.g., Eagle, Pentland, & Lazer, 2009). For instance, participants might be unable to correctly recall all network members asked about in the instructions and/or be biased towards listing people they have stronger bonds with (Marsden, 1990). Where possible, such issues might be addressed by having participants report their interactions on a daily basis using diary designs (e.g., Holzleitner et al., 2019), or by additionally relying on objective measures such as mobile phone data (e.g., Eagle et al., 2009). In addition to the problems arising from the self-report nature of egocentered networks, previous studies have shown that the way the network is asked about can heavily influence which people are considered to be part of the network and thereby the composition, size and density of the reported network. This can threaten the validity of results if researchers are unaware of the effects of their particular method of eliciting the network of interest. For example, whether researchers use a single vs. multiple name generators might influence whether participants only come to think of people they feel close to and frequently are in contact with, or whether they will also list people they might not have thought of at first. Similarly, rather vague name generators (e.g., ,,people one discusses important matters with") might not be interpreted in the same way by all participants (i.e., some participants

might come to think of people they discuss personal matters with, others might rather think of work related issues; Bailey & Marsden, 1999) and thus lead to more subjectively defined networks as compared to rather unambiguous name generators (e.g., "all people one talked to at work during the last three days"). In addition, whether name generators are based on behavioral or emotional content or the order in which name interpreters are administered (i.e., additional questions ordered by members of the network vs. ordered by the attributes to be rated) may also influence participants' understanding of what they are to report on (Kogovšek & Ferligoj, 2005; Coromina & Coenders, 2006). Thus, researchers should give careful thought to how they are going to elicit their network of interest and to inquire further information from participants. One possible way to approach this is by extensively pretesting your method of assessing the network of interest (i.e., instructions, name generators and name interpreters). Face-to-face interviews that precede major online data collections can be used to ensure that name generators and name interpreters work as intended. In addition, face-toface pretesting might also help to address some of the problems arising from the online collection of data more generally, as it can help to ensure that instructions are understandable and that participants do not experience any negative effects due to the assessment (Kraut et al., 2004).

Despite its possible problems, which should carefully be considered by researchers planning to implement this tool, the online assessment of egocentered social networks also opens up a number of interesting avenues for future research. While the template study used in our tutorial assesses concurrent networks in the context of a cross-sectional study, important extensions to this design can be implemented using formr in its current version.

In a longitudinal design, for example, one could also use the tool to investigate how the composition of networks changes over time. Impressive examples of such designs, implemented in formr, can be found in Borschel et al. (2019) and in Greischel, Noack and Neyer (2016, 2018), who investigated how social relationships, identity and personality

change in young adults during times of residential and international mobility. Another longitudinal extension of the egocentered social network design, implemented in formr, was used by Holzleitner et al. (2019), who investigated whether women tend to avoid male kin during their fertile phase. Here, names were not elicited in name generators, but through experience sampling of daily contact with people, where frequently mentioned names were then rated in depth after the conclusion of the experience sampling period. This approach can reduce the previously discussed problem of recall bias for social network members, but is of course not suited for all research questions. Apart from these examples, studies assessing social networks in such a longitudinal manner remain relatively sparse.

A further promising avenue would be to move beyond self-reports of the focal person by recruiting the network members into an extended social networks study. As mentioned before, the incorporation of peer reports may increase the validity of network data by reducing the need to solely rely on focal self-reports. In addition, having network members provide information about themselves or the relationship to the focal person allows for the investigation of a new range of interesting research questions; for instance, one might investigate how similarities or differences in self-reported personality influence the perceived quality of the relationships between a focal person and their network members, or which factors influence whether a focal person and their network members agree on the quality of their relationship. However, researchers pondering such an extended social networks study should bear in mind that successful recruitment of peers might require additional incentives. Depending on funding for the project, one might consider including peers into schemes for monetary compensation, lotteries, and the like. In formr, again, offering an interesting, personalized feedback to peers based on their own answers seems to be an especially viable way to increase cooperation.

Finally, in experimental studies, one could incorporate the generation of (different) networks as the core manipulation. For instance, a researcher interested in the malleability of

44

self-presentation could instruct participants to either generate a professional network or a network of friends, and then investigate whether and how this might change how participants present themselves (e.g., in the first case, participants might emphasize agentic traits, while communal traits might be accentuated in the latter). This way, even for researchers not usually concerned with networks themselves, the assessment of social networks might constitute an interesting tool that allows for the implementation of particularly creative study designs.

To sum up, when adequately utilized, assessing social networks online can prove valuable to investigate research questions relating to various fields of psychology. By providing this tutorial and by basing it on the open-source framework formr, we hope to democratize the ability of researchers from different backgrounds to collect social network data and encourage scholars to make use of this fascinating design in their own research.

Author Contributions. Louisa M. Reins wrote the first draft of the manuscript and created the online material for the tutorial. Ruben C. Arslan provided the code for the feedback graphics, helped with the online material and provided critical revisions of the manuscript. Tanja M. Gerlach came up with the idea for the tutorial, gave advice regarding its conceptualization and input regarding the online materials, and provided critical revisions of the manuscript.

**Conflicts of Interest**. The authors declare that there were no conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship or the publication of this article.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Gert Stulp for sharing his extension of GENSI. We are also thankful to Antonio Amaddio and Felix Lappe for setting up the proof of concept for an implementation of GENSI in formr. Furthermore, we thank Isa Garbisch for testing the tutorial and providing feedback for its improvement.

**Open Practices.** Open Data: not applicable. Open Materials: https://osf.io/sgkwz/. Preregistration: not applicable.

- Allaire, J. J., Xie, Y., McPherson, J., Luraschi, J., Ushey, K., Atkins, A., ... Chang, W.
  (2018). R Markdown: Dynamic Documents for R (Version 1.10) [Software].
  Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rmarkdown
- Armstrong III, B. F., & Kammrath, L. K. (2014). Depth and breadth tactics in support seeking. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *6*(1), 39–46.
- Aron, A., Aron, E. N., & Smollan, D. (1992). Inclusion of other in the self scale and the structure of interpersonal closeness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 63(4), 596–612.
- Arslan, R. C. (2018). formr R package (Version R Package Version 0.7.4). Retrieved from https://zenodo.org/record/1326523
- Arslan, R. C., Walther, M. P. & Tata, C. S. (2019). formr: A study framework allowing for automated feedback generation and complex longitudinal experience sampling studies using R. *Behavior Research Methods*, 1–12.
- Aschbrenner, K. A., Bobak, C., Schneider, E. J., Naslund, J. A., Brunette, M. F., & O'Malley,
  A. J. (2018). Egocentric social networks and smoking among adults with serious
  mental illness. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*, 8(4), 531–539.
- Asendorpf, J. B., & Wilpers, S. (1998). Personality effects on social relationships. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 74(6), 1531–1544.
- Bailey, S., & Marsden, P. V. (1999). Interpretation and interview context: examining the General Social Survey name generator using cognitive methods. *Social Networks*, 21(3), 287–309.
- Bidart, C., & Charbonneau, J. (2011). How to generate personal networks: Issues and tools for a sociological perspective. *Field Methods*, *23*(3), 266–286.
- Borschel, E., Zimmermann, J., Crocetti, E., Meeus, W., Noack, P., & Neyer, F. J. (2019). Me and you in a mobile world: The development of regional identity and personal

relationships in young adulthood. Developmental Psychology, 55(5), 1072–1087.

- Bost, K. K., Cox, M. J., Burchinal, M. R., & Payne, C. (2002). Structural and supportive changes in couples' family and friendship networks across the transition to parenthood. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 64(2), 517–531.
- Burt, R. S. (1984). Network items and the general social survey. *Social Networks*, *6*(4), 293–339.
- Chen, L., & Gable, G. G. (2013). Larger or broader: Performance implications of size and diversity of the knowledge worker's egocentric network. *Management and Organization Review*, 9(1), 139–165.
- Converse, J. M., & Presser, S. (1986). *Survey questions: Handcrafting the standardized questionnaire*. Sage Publications.
- Coromina, L., & Coenders, G. (2006). Reliability and validity of egocentered network data collected via web: A meta-analysis of multilevel multitrait multimethod studies. *Social Networks*, *28*(3), 209–231.
- Eagle, N., Pentland, A. S., & Lazer, D. (2009). Inferring friendship network structure by using mobile phone data. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(36), 15274–15278.
- Golinelli, D., Ryan, G., Green Jr, H. D., Kennedy, D. P., Tucker, J. S., & Wenzel, S. L.
  (2010). Sampling to reduce respondent burden in personal network studies and its effect on estimates of structural measures. *Field Methods*, *22*(3), 217–230.
- Gosling, S. D., Rentfrow, P. J., & Swann, W. B., Jr. (2003). A very brief measure of the Big-Five personality domains. *Journal of Research in Personality*, *37*(6), 504–528.
- Greischel, H., Noack, P., & Neyer, F. J. (2016). Sailing uncharted waters: Adolescent personality development and social relationship experiences during a year abroad. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 45(11), 2307–2320.

- Greischel, H., Noack, P., & Neyer, F. J. (2018). Oh, the places you'll go! How international mobility challenges identity development in adolescence. *Developmental Psychology*, 54(11), 2152–2165.
- Holzleitner, I. J., Driebe, J. C., Arslan, R. C., Hahn, A. C., Lee, A. J., O'Shea, K. J., ... & DeBruine, L. M. (2019). No evidence that inbreeding avoidance is up-regulated during the ovulatory phase of the menstrual cycle. *bioRxiv*, 192054.
- Keim, S., Klärner, A., & Bernardi, L. (2009). Qualifying social influence on fertility intentions: Composition, structure and meaning of fertility-relevant social networks in Western Germany. *Current Sociology*, 57(6), 888–907.
- Kogovšek, T., & Ferligoj, A. (2005). Effects on reliability and validity of egocentered network measurements. *Social Networks*, *27*(3), 205–229.
- Kraut, R., Olson, J., Banaji, M., Bruckman, A., Cohen, J., & Couper, M. (2004).
  psychological research online: report of board of scientific affairs' advisory group on the conduct of research on the internet. *American Psychologist*, 59(2), 105–117.
- Leiner, D. J. (2014). SoSci survey (Version 2.4. 00-i) [Software]. SoSci Survey GmbH. Retrieved from https://www.soscisurvey.de
- Limesurvey GmbH. (2012). LimeSurvey: An open source survey tool [Software]. Hamburg, Germany: LimeSurvey GmbH. Retrieved from http://www.limesurvey.org
- Lois, D. (2016). Types of social networks and the transition to parenthood. *Demographic Research*, *34*, 657–688.
- Marsden, P. V. (1990). Network data and measurement. *Annual Review of Sociology*, *16*(1), 435–463.
- Marquez, B., Norman, G., Fowler, J., Gans, K., & Marcus, B. (2018). Egocentric networks and physical activity outcomes in Latinas. *PloS ONE*, *13*(6), e0199139.
- McCallister, L., & Fischer, C. S. (1978). An approach for surveying personal networks. Sociological Methods and Research, 7, 131–148.

- Meisel, M. K., Clifton, A. D., MacKillop, J., Miller, J. D., Campbell, W. K., & Goodie, A. S.
  (2013). Egocentric social network analysis of pathological gambling. *Addiction*, *108*(3), 584–591.
- Milardo, R. M. (1992). Comparative methods for delineating social networks. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, *9*(3), 447–461.
- Perry, B. L., Pescosolido, B. A., & Borgatti, S. P. (2018). *Egocentric network analysis: Foundations, methods, and models*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rapp, C., Ingold, K., & Freitag, M. (2019). Personalized networks? How the Big Five personality traits influence the structure of egocentric networks. *Social Science Research*, 77, 148–160.
- Schulze, C., Hertwig, R., & Pachur, T. (in press). Who you know is what you know:Modeling boundedly rational social sampling. Journal of Experimental Psychology:General.
- Stark, T. H., & Krosnick, J. A. (2017). GENSI: A new graphical tool to collect ego-centered network data. *Social Networks*, 48, 36–45.
- Ueno, K. (2005). The effects of friendship networks on adolescent depressive symptoms. *Social Science Research*, *34*(3), 484–510.
- Valente, T. W. (2002). Evaluating health promotion programs. Oxford University Press.
- van Sonderen, E., Ormel, J., Brilman, E. I., & van Linden van den Heuvell, C. (1990).
  Personal network delineation: A comparison of the exchange, affective and rolerelation approach. In K. C. P. M. Knipscheer & T. C. Antonucci (Eds.), *Social network research: Substantive issues and methodological questions* (pp. 101–120).
  Swets & Zeitlinger Publishers.
- Wasserman, S., & Faust, K. (1994). Social network analysis: Methods and applications.Cambridge University Press.

Wickham, H., Chang, W., Henry, L., Pedersen, T. L., Takahashi, K., Wilke, C., & Woo, K.
(2018). ggplot2: Create elegant data visualisations using the grammar of graphics
(Version 3.0.0) [Software]. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/
package=ggplot2

- Wieczorek, L. L., Tata, C. S., Penke, L., & Gerlach, T. M. (2020). Online implementation of an event history calendar with formr: A tutorial. *Personal Relationships*, 27(1), 176– 208.
- Wyngaerden, F., Nicaise, P., Dubois, V., & Lorant, V. (2019). Social support network and continuity of care: an ego-network study of psychiatric service users. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 54(6), 725–735.
- Xie, Y. (2018). knitr: A general-purpose package for dynamic report generation in R (Version 1.20) [Software]. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=knitr
- Zhu, X., Woo, S. E., Porter, C., & Brzezinski, M. (2013). Pathways to happiness: From personality to social networks and perceived support. *Social Networks*, 35(3), 382– 393.
- Zimmermann, J., & Neyer, F. J. (2013). Do we become a different person when hitting the road? Personality development of sojourners. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 105(3), 515–53.

### Appendix

## Table A1

## Examples of Previous Studies Assessing Egocentered Social Networks

Study	Research Question(s)	Type(s) of Network(s)	Name Generator(s)	Average Size of Network
Armstrong & Kammrath, 2014	Can support seeking be described in terms of breadth (i.e., how many supporters one seeks) and depth (i.e., how much support one seeks from each person)? Can breadth and depth explain gender and attachment differences in support seeking?	exchange (support) network	list 15 people you would most likely seek support from in the following 2 weeks	$M = 15, SD = 0^{-1}$
Aschbrenner et al., 2018	How are social networks related to smoking outcomes among people with serious mental illness who participate in smoking cessation treatment?	network of close associates, interactive network, specific network	list up to 5 people you spend the most time with during a typical week and up to 5 people who said or did anything to influence your smoking over the past 12 months	M = 5.9, SD = 2.2
Asendorpf & Wilpers, 1998	How do personality and social relationships influence each other in adolescents who enter university?	network of close associates, role-relation network	list all people who are currently important to you (in addition, a list of different roles such as school friend, neighbor, coworker etc. was shown to guide memory)	T1 (pre-university): M = 25.4, SD = 9.6 T2 (1.5 years at university): M = 36.9, SD = 14.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In this study, participants were forced to list *exactly* 15 network members.

Bost et al.,	How do couple's friend and family	network of close	list all individuals with whom	T1 (pre-birth):
2004	networks change across the transition to	associates	you have a close relationship	friends: $M = 5.3$ , $SD = 2.4$
	parenthood?			family: $M = 1.9$ , $SD = 2.1$
				T2 (2 years after birth):
				friends: $M = 4$ , $SD = 1.9$
				family: $M = 1.5$ , $SD = 1.8$
Chen &	How is the job performance of knowledge	specific network	list all people to whom you often	M = 5.2, SD = 3.5
Gable, 2013	workers related to the size and diversity of		turned for knowledge on work-	
	their knowledge networks?		related topics in the past three	
			months	
Keim et al.,	Do social network influences on fertility	global network	list all people you consider very	M = 25.5, SD = n.a.
2009	intentions play a role in individuals'		important, important, little	
	decision- making on family formation?		important, not important,	
			important in a negative sense	
			(problematic)	
Marquez et	How are social networks tied to changes in	network of close	list all people you discussed	M = 4.2, SD = 2.1
al., 2018	physical activity (PA) in underactive	associates	important matters with in the	
	women taking part in a PA intervention?		past year	
Rapp et al.,	Are personality traits related to	network of close	list up to 4 people who played an	M = 3.3, SD = 0.8
2019	individual's social integration and position	associates	important role in your life in the	
	in their ego-network?		last 6 months	
Schulze,	How do people sample members of their	specific network	list all people you know who	M = n.a., SD = n.a.
Hertwig, &	social network from memory in order to		have died from cancer, who are	
Pachur, in	infer the frequency distribution of		members in a sport club, or who	
press	behaviors and characteristics in the		had recently holidayed at certain	
	population at large?		locations	

Ueno, 2005	Do adolescents who are better integrated in	network of close	list up to 5 closest male friends	M = 7.3, SD = 3.2
	friend networks show less depressive	associates, role-	and up to 5 closest female	
	symptoms?	relation network	friends	
Zimmermann	Can fluctuations in social (support)	exchange (support)	list people you would ask for	T1 (pre-departure):
& Neyer,	networks explain the impact of	network	support with respect to either	M = 12.1, SD = 5.6
2013	international mobility on personality		emotional, instrumental, or	T2 (5 months abroad):
	change?		companionship matters	M = 11.3, SD = 5.8

*Note.* The studies portrayed in this table were identified by searching Google Scholar for the keywords "egocentered/egocentric social network" and selected to illustrate how the egocentered network approach can be used to address a broad range of research questions from different areas of psychology (e.g., personality, clinical, cognitive, organizational psychology). We additionally included information about the types of networks addressed, the name generators used, as well as the average sizes of the networks elicited, in order to illustrate some of the points made in the "considerations in advance" section. With this additional information, we hope to aid the interested reader in making decisions about what kind of name generators to use in order to elicit networks of different types and sizes.

## Table A2

Term	Explanation
<b>Item table</b> (also referred to as survey spreadsheet)	Spreadsheet (e.g. Excel file, GoogleSheet) defining the contents of your study (i.e., the items that make up your questionnaire). An item table that has been uploaded to formr's <i>survey area</i> is referred to as a <i>survey</i> .
Survey worksheet	First tab of your item table. Here, your items (i.e., the text and questions to be shown to your participants) are defined. The worksheet consists of five columns at least (see below).
class column	This column is used to control the visual styling of your items. For examples of styling classes other than listed below, see <u>https://formr.org/public/documentation#sample_survey_sheet.</u>
counter (class)	When used in combination with the type "number" (see <i>type column</i> ), this styling class creates a counter consisting of a + and a – button which counts up/down each time the buttons are clicked. The counted value will be stored in a variable that can be used to count listings and control the appearance of items in dependence of the number of listings (see <i>showif column</i> ).
type column	This column is used to set the item types (i.e., what kind of text or question format your item ought to be). For examples of types other than those discussed in this tutorial and listed below, see <a href="https://formr.org/public/documentation#available_items">https://formr.org/public/documentation#available_items</a> .
note	Plain text item.
text	Text input field item.
select_one	Item with predefined response options (see <i>choices worksheet</i> ).
number	Number input field item.
check	Checkbox item.
calculate	Item to store variables that were calculated in R (see also <i>value</i>
submit	<i>column</i> ). Note that items of this type are hidden to participants. Submit button item.
name column	This column is used to name your items. Participant's answers will be stored in a variable under that name and you can use these names to refer to variables throughout your study.
showif column	This column is used to control under which conditions an item is shown to participants. You can define conditions by using

## Explanation of All formr-Related Terms Used in This Tutorial

	logical operators written in R (such as ">", "==", "<", "&"); if
	you leave this column empty, an item will always be shown.
value column	This column is used to preset values for certain item types. In our tutorial, we use this in order to calculate variables (see <i>calculate</i> ) and to set a counter (see <i>counter</i> ).
label column	This column is used to define the content of your items (i.e., their text) as it will be shown to participants.
optional column	This column is used to make answering certain items optional (typing "*") or mandatory (typing "!").
Choices worksheet	Second tab of your item table. Here, you can set the response options to your items with predefined choices.
name_list column	This column is used to name your lists of response options. In order to use such a choice list, you have to refer to its name in the <i>type column</i> (e.g., when using the item type <i>select_one</i> ).
name column	This column is used to set the value (or name) in terms of which the response options are going to be stored in the results sheet.
label column	This column is used to set the labels of the response options, as they will be shown to participants.
Run	The run defines your study's design and flow. It is set-up by chaining together different <i>run modules</i> (see below) using if-conditions and <i>GOTO statements</i> in formr's <i>study control area</i> .
Run modules	Run modules carry out different tasks (see below). For more detailed information on each of the modules, please see <a href="https://formr.org/documentation#run_module_explanations.">https://formr.org/documentation#run_module_explanations.</a>
Skip Backward	Send participants to earlier positions in the run (loop).
Pause	Delay participants continuation of a run for a certain time.
Skip Forward	Send participants to later positions in the run (jump).
Waiting Time	Send reminders after waiting for participants for a certain time.
Shuffle	Randomly assign participants to different groups.
Stop	Create an end page for the study.
Survey	Add <i>surveys</i> (i.e., questionnaires) to the run.
External Link	Send participants to other data collection modules (e.g. other survey software).
Email	Send email reminders to participants.

Other terms	
Other terms	
administrator account	In order to create your own form studies, you need to have an administrator account. Register at <u>https://formr.org/register</u> .
administrator page	You can access the admin page on <u>https://formr.org/admin</u> when logged in with your administrator account. Among other things, you can create new runs and surveys here.
GOTO statement	You can use GOTO statements to control the order of your <i>run modules</i> in the <i>study control (run) area</i> (e.g. "10" for your survey, "20" for your end page).
JSON	File format to export your study (including all items or only its structure) for easy sharing of study set-ups.
monkey bar	Toolbar that appears when using the testing mode. Includes functions helpful for testing (e.g., automatically filling out items ( <i>monkey mode</i> ), navigating between the different run modules).
referral token	Token that grants administrator rights (e.g., if you want to use formr in teaching, students can start using formr right away).
study control framework / study control area	Framework in formr that allows you to create and edit new <i>runs</i> . The corresponding interface in formr is referred to as study control area.
survey framework / survey area	Framework in formr that allows you to create new surveys using <i>item tables</i> . The corresponding interface in formr is referred to as survey area.
survey	An item table that has been uploaded to formr's <i>survey framework</i> is referred to as a <i>survey</i> . You can bind multiple surveys together in a <i>run</i> .
tape deck toolbar	Toolbar in the <i>study control (run) area</i> , consisting of all possible <i>run modules</i> .
user interface	What the <i>survey</i> pages will look like to participants.
utility R package	R package including helper functions to organize and analyze data collected with formr (during and after the collection).

Note. Please see Wieczorek et al. (2020) for a similar description of formr-related terms.

## Table A3

Key Questions to Consider When Planning a Social Network Study (Summary of All

Considerations Addressed in the Conside	rations in Advance and Di	iscussion Sections)
---	---------------------------	---------------------

Question	Considerations
What kind of social network do you want to assess?	Depending on your research question, you could be interested in networks of close associates, exchange networks, interactive networks, role-relation networks, or global networks; or in members of people's networks with certain characteristics who do not make up any of these network types.
How do you want to guide your participants in the creation of their social networks?	One way of eliciting social networks is the use of name generators. You should keep in mind that the way you ask about a network will determine the characteristics and the amount of people that your participants are going to list as their network. Thus, your name generators should correspond to your network of interest and you should carefully decide things like whether you want to use a single vs. multiple name generators, how vague vs. specific their wording is going to be, or whether you want them to contain behavioral vs. emotional content. Piloting your name generators in face-to-face interviews will help make these decisions.
How many network members will participants be allowed to list?	In order to get an idea of what might constitute an appropriate network size for your particular research question, you might want to consult previous studies having employed similar networks and/or pre-test your method of assessment. In any case, the number of network members your participants can list should correspond to the amount of people your instructions make them think of. Depending on how much additional information you want to retrieve, you might have to instruct participants to list fewer (maybe only the most important) network members, in order to keep your study to a reasonable length. However, keep in mind that adopting arbitrary upper or lower boundaries might lead to misleading or artificial results. As an alternative to setting an upper limit, you might want to draw a random selection of all listed network members for further in- depth information collection.

Γ

What kind of additional information about the network members do you want to gather?	Additional questions about the network members are referred to as name interpreters and they are used to learn more about the network members' characteristics and the relationships to them. Again, you should not ask too many questions about too many network members in order to avoid an overly long survey. In addition, you should keep in mind that the way you ask the additional questions (e.g., alter-wise vs. questions-wise) might influence participants' responses.
How are you going to motivate participants throughout your study?	Network assessments can place a heavy burden on participants, especially if participants are required to answer the same set of questions over and over again for a large number of network members. To keep your participants motivated, you might want to reward participants with feedback about their network or any other data gathered during the study upon completion of the assessment.